



Linda S. Adams
Secretary for
Environmental Protection

State Water Resources Control Board


Division of Water Quality

1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-5455
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100 • Sacramento, California • 95812-0100
Fax (916) 341-5584 • <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov>



Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor

TO: Todd Thompson, P.E.
Senior Water Resource Control Engineer

FROM: 
Gerald W. Bowes, Ph.D.
Manager, Toxicology and Peer Review Section
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY

DATE: April 17, 2007

SUBJECT: PEER REVIEWERS FOR PROPOSED RULE FOR ONSITE WASTEWATER
TREATMENT SYSTEMS

In response to your request for peer reviewers for the proposed methodology identified above, I am pleased to send you the names of four reviewers who have been selected by the University of California to perform this review. I have reviewed the Conflict of Interest (COI) Disclosure form each reviewer candidate was required to complete and sign, and have approved them as reviewers.

The reviewers' names are given below. Please confirm with them that the review material should be sent to the address indicated:

1. Professor Chet A. Rock
Associate Dean
College of Engineering
210 Advanced Manufacturing Center
University of Maine
Orono ME 04469

Telephone: 207-581-2218(W)
Fax: 207-581-2220
Email: chetrock@maine.edu
2. Professor C. Herb Ward, Ph.D., M.P.H., P.E.
Foyt Family Chair of Engineering
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Rice University
6100 Main Street, Mail Stop 316
Houston TX 77005-1892

Telephone: 713-348-4086
Fax: 713-348-5948
Email: wardch@rice.edu

3. Professor Jörg E. Drewes
Environmental Science and Engineering Division
Colorado School of Mines
Golden CO 80401-1887

Telephone: 303-273-3401
Fax: 303-273-3413
Email: jdrewes@mines.edu

4. William A. Yanko
Environmental Microbiology Consultant
19912 Echo Blue Drive
Penn Valley CA 95946-8810

Telephone/Fax: 530-432-2579
Email: byanko@mac.com

I am providing biographical information for the selected reviewers with this memorandum.

You should now contact them immediately. Let them know you have been notified that they will be the external reviewers for your proposal. Also, tell them when to expect the material for review. The letter of request to me provided this information, and reviewer candidates' acceptance of the assignment often is conditional on their availability at that time. If the date has changed, confirm with the reviewers that the new date is acceptable. Keep in periodic contact with each reviewer if the date is expected to change again. I would like to receive copies of these email transmittals to keep up-to-date. I am always contacted by reviewers and the University when delays in the process arise.

Your letter transmitting the proposal to the reviewers should include the same three attachments that you sent with your request letter to me. ***Inform them that Attachment Two provides guidance for the review.***

When all interactions with them have been completed, please let me know for the peer review files I keep here.

If I can provide additional help, feel free to contact me at any time during the review process.

Attachments